

ECONOSCITECH INTEGRATION

ISSUE
5

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC
ELECTRONIC JOURNAL



TASHKENT STATE
UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS



American University
of Technology

Powered by Arizona State University®

ISSN: 3060-5075



Acceptance of articles

PUBLISHED EVERY MONTHLY



ARTICLE CONTRIBUTORS

**PROFESSORS-TEACHERS, SPECIALISTS
AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHERS.**



Google
Scholar

Academic
Resource
Index
ResearchBib

BASE

OpenAIRE

doi
Digital
Object
Identifier

OPEN ACCESS

CONTACT:



+998 94 3540880



<https://econoscitech-integration-journal.uz>



2026



EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Zufarova Nozima Gulamiddinovna
DSc., Dean of Tourism Faculty, TSUE

DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Makhmudov Nosir Makhmudovich
DSc., Prof., Academician

DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Suyunov Dilmurod Xolmurodovich
Doctor of Economics (DSc), Professor,

DEPUTY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Allayarov Shamsiddin Amanullayevich
doctor of economics (DSC), professor

RESPONSIBLE SECRETARY:

Otaboyev Axmed Maxsudbek o'g'li
TSUE independent researcher

THE SCIENTIFIC-POPULAR
ELECTRONIC JOURNAL
"ECONOSCITECH-INTEGRATION"
HAS BEEN REGISTERED UNDER
THE NUMBER C-5669651 BY THE
AGENCY FOR INFORMATION AND
MASS COMMUNICATIONS (AOKA)
OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN,
EFFECTIVE FROM OCTOBER 9, 2024.

In accordance with Resolution No. 384/6 dated April 10, 2026, issued by the Presidium of the Supreme Attestation Commission under the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation of the Republic of Uzbekistan, this journal is included in the list of recommended international scientific publications for publishing the primary research findings of doctoral dissertations in the field of Economic Sciences.

Partners: Tashkent State University of Economics / American University of Technology in Tashkent (AUT)

Electronic publication, Issue 5. 234 pages.
Approved for publication on May, 2026.

Editorial Board Members:



Sharipov Kongratbay Avezimbetovich,
Doctor of Technical Sciences (DSc), Professor



Teshabayev To'liqin Zakirovich,
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor



Said Irandoust,
Doctor of Chemical Engineering Sciences,
Professor



Abdurakhmanova Gulnora Kalandarovna,
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor



Khudoykulov Sadirdin Karimovich,
Doctor of Economics, (DSc), Professor



Tokunaga Masahiro,
professor, PhD of Economics of the Faculty of
Business and Commerce



Debasis Das,
professor Department of Computer Science



Nitin Goje,
professor and Program Lead - Computer Science



Nargizakhon Shamshieva
Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor



Rakhmonov Norim Razzakovich,
Doctor of Economic Sciences (DSc), Professor

Bayxonov Bahodirjon Tursunbayevich
Doctor of Science (DSc), Professor



Shomurodov Ravshan Tursunkulovich,
PhD, Associate Professor



Boymuratov Abduraxmat Djumayevich
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Economics

Sharopova Nafosat Radjabovna
DSc, Associate Professor



Sultanova Kamila Mukhtorali Kizi
Master of Science

CONTENTS

FOREIGN EXPERIENCE IN THE EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATION OF FREE ECONOMIC ZONES.....	51
Mamadiev Elyor	
IMPROVING ORGANIZATIONAL AND ECONOMIC MECHANISMS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF FAMILY GUEST HOUSES	55
Boynazarov Ulugbek Egamberdievich	
IMPROVING METHODS OF ORGANIZING AND DEVELOPING DOMESTIC TOURISM MARKETS IN UZBEKISTAN	61
Daminov Mirvokhid Isroilovich	
THE IMPACT AND SIGNIFICANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE TOURISM SECTOR.....	67
Dilsora Ibodovna Ibodova	
IMPACT OF STUDENTS AGED OVER 40 ON ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AND BUDGETING BASED ON THE COMPETENCY ECOSYSTEM.....	74
Nigora Ikrom qizi Primova	
ОЦЕНКА ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ ПРОМЫШЛЕННЫХ ПРЕДПРИЯТИЙ ХОРЕЗМСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ: ЭКОНОМЕТРИЧЕСКОЕ МОДЕЛИРОВАНИЕ И СЦЕНАРНОЕ ПРОГНОЗИРОВАНИЕ НА 2026–2030 ГОДЫ	80
Юсупов Шерзодбек Бахтиёр угли	
IMPROVING THE METHODOLOGY FOR ASSESSING THE PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IN COMMERCIAL ENTERPRISES.....	87
Ergashev Jahongir Bakhodirovich	
MULTIVARIATE ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN SURXONDARYO REGION	93
Abdunazarova Shahnoza Norquchqor qizi	
СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫХ ЦИФРОВЫХ УСЛУГ АЗЕРБАЙДЖАНА И УЗБЕКИСТАНА.....	99
Юсифов Магамед Исмаил оглу, Гасанли Расул Шахин оглу, Белалова Гузаль Анваровна	
SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MINING INDUSTRY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE GREEN ECONOMY.....	105
Xudayberdiyeva Kamila Sadillovayna, Fozilova Zumrad Ahmadovna	
IMPROVING THE ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF CLOTHING MANUFACTURING ENTERPRISES IN UZBEKISTAN THROUGH DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION	111
Axmedova Gaziza Azim kizi	
КОМПЛЕКСНАЯ ОЦЕНКА ПОТЕНЦИАЛА РЕГИОНАЛЬНОГО АГРОПРОМЫШЛЕННОГО ИНТЕГРИРОВАНИЯ НА ОСНОВЕ МОДЕЛИ АНР-TOPIS	115
Аликулов А.Б.	
APPLICATION OF CLUSTER METHODS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM INFRASTRUCTURE AND IMPROVEMENT OF ECONOMIC MECHANISMS IN SAMARKAND CITY	121
Tashov Mizrob Maxmudovich	
THE ROLE AND PROSPECTS OF THE GREEN ECONOMY IN THE SERVICE SECTOR.....	130
Musayeva Shoirazimovna, Usmonova Dilfuza Ilkhomovna	
FACTORS AFFECTING THE EFFICIENCY OF REGIONAL ENTERPRISES	135
Nigora Zokirjon qizi Toxirova	
CURRENT STATE OF ATTRACTING INVESTMENTS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISM IN THE REGIONS OF UZBEKISTAN AND THE METHODOLOGY OF ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY INDICATORS	142
Temurbek Olimovich Mamayunusov	
PRESUPPOSITION SHIFTS IN CROSS-LINGUISTIC RENDERING OF ANECDOTAL NARRATIVES: A COMPARATIVE INQUIRY INTO TRIGGER RETENTION AND TRANSFORMATION	148
Umaraliyeva Dildora Taxirjanovna	

DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES AND RURAL PUBLIC SERVICE QUALITY: AN EMPIRICAL ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS.....	156
<i>Bek Hunsia, Feruza Mansurovna Ollokulova</i>	
COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF WOMEN'S LABOR ACTIVITY ON THE EFFICIENCY OF THE ECONOMIC SYSTEM.....	164
<i>Ahrorova Asila Abduaziz qizi</i>	
IMPROVING TAX ADMINISTRATION IN THE ENTREPRENEURIAL ENVIRONMENT.....	170
<i>Azizbek Khurramov</i>	
FORMATION OF FINANCIAL RESULTS AT MOTOR TRANSPORT ENTERPRISES.....	180
<i>Shanazarova Nilufar Baratovna</i>	
ARIMA-BASED ANALYSIS OF SMALL BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN THE AGRICULTURAL SECTOR OF SURKHANDARYA REGION.....	185
<i>Fayziyeva Aziza Azamat qizi</i>	
ASSESSMENT OF AGRARIAN SECTOR EFFICIENCY THROUGH THE SFA MODEL.....	192
<i>Utanov Bunyod Kuvandikovich</i>	
АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ УПРАВЛЕНИЯ ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫМ ВНЕШНИМ ДОЛГОМ.....	196
<i>Шомуродов Равшан Турсункулович, Жуманазаров Шахобиддин Дилмурод угли</i>	
MODERN METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO MANAGING EDUCATIONAL SERVICES MARKETING IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.....	201
<i>Shamshieva Nargizakhon Nosirkhuja kizi</i>	
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OF FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC COTTON GINNING ENTERPRISES: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS, DIAGNOSTICS, AND IMPROVEMENT DIRECTIONS.....	208
<i>Orif Jumayevich Murodov</i>	
ANALYSIS OF THE INSTITUTIONAL FOUNDATIONS OF STATE INTERVENTION IN THE PRODUCT QUALITY MANAGEMENT PROCESS IN UZBEKISTAN.....	219
<i>Atakulov Askad Raimkulovich</i>	
ИНТЕГРИРОВАННАЯ МОДЕЛЬ ФОРМАЛИЗАЦИИ НЕФОРМАЛЬНОЙ ЗАНЯТОСТИ И РАСШИРЕНИЯ СОЦИАЛЬНОЙ ЗАЩИТЫ В АГРАРНЫХ РЕГИОНАХ (НА ПРИМЕРЕ КАШКАДАРЬИНСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ).....	224
<i>Бобоназарова Юлдуз Ботировна</i>	
THE ECONOMIC ESSENCE OF RESOURCE USE EFFICIENCY AND ITS ROLE IN INDUSTRIAL ECONOMICS.....	229
<i>Baymanova Mavlyuda Djurayevna, Aipova Iroda Ikramovna</i>	

THE ECONOMIC ESSENCE OF RESOURCE USE EFFICIENCY AND ITS ROLE IN INDUSTRIAL ECONOMICS

Baymanova Mavlyuda Djurayevna

Lecturer at Angren University
mavludabaymanova@gmail.com

Aipova Iroda Ikramovna

Lecturer at Angren University
irodaaipova87@gmail.com

Abstract: This article analyzes the theoretical foundations of the concept of resource use efficiency, its role in industrial economics, and the specific features of its application in the grain products industry. The study examines scientific approaches ranging from the representatives of the classical economic school to modern theories of efficiency. In addition, various methods for assessing resource efficiency are analyzed. The results show that the rational use of resources is an important factor in increasing enterprise competitiveness, reducing production costs, and ensuring sustainable industrial development.

Key words: resource efficiency, technical efficiency, economic efficiency, DEA, SFA, Malmquist index, grain products industry, competitiveness.

Аннотация: В данной статье анализируются теоретические основы понятия эффективности использования ресурсов, его роль в промышленной экономике, а также особенности применения в отрасли зерновой продукции. В исследовании рассматриваются научные подходы, начиная от представителей классической экономической школы и заканчивая современными теориями эффективности. Кроме того, анализируются различные методы оценки эффективности использования ресурсов. Полученные результаты показывают, что рациональное использование ресурсов является важным фактором повышения конкурентоспособности предприятий, снижения производственных затрат и обеспечения устойчивого промышленного развития.

Ключевые слова: эффективность использования ресурсов, техническая эффективность, экономическая эффективность, DEA, SFA, индекс Малмквиста, отрасль зерновой продукции, конкурентоспособность.

INTRODUCTION

In the modern economy, resource use efficiency has become one of the most important criteria for evaluating the performance of industrial enterprises. Under conditions of limited production factors — raw materials, energy, labor, and capital — achieving maximum output from each unit of resource has acquired strategic importance. Particularly in resource-intensive sectors such as grain products manufacturing, the high consumption of energy, water, and material resources further increases the need to improve efficiency. In the Republic of Uzbekistan, the grain products industry is considered one of the priority sectors ensuring food security. Therefore, improving resource use efficiency in this sector is becoming an important direction of national economic policy.

The purpose of this study is to reveal the theoretical essence of the concept of resource use efficiency, analyze its role in industrial economics, and highlight the practical significance of efficiency assessment methods.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The theory of resource efficiency has undergone continuous development from classical economics to modern quantitative methods. The concept of “efficiency” has been discussed in economic thought since the

eighteenth century. Adam Smith theoretically substantiated the possibility of increasing productivity through labor division and specialization in his work *The Wealth of Nations*. David Ricardo demonstrated in the theory of comparative advantage that countries specializing in sectors corresponding to their relative advantages could allocate resources more efficiently.

Later, representatives of neoclassical economics such as Léon Walras, Alfred Marshall, and Vilfredo Pareto developed the mathematical and theoretical foundations of economic efficiency. Walras attempted to describe the general equilibrium of resource allocation through a system of mathematical equations. Marshall developed marginal analysis as a practical tool and demonstrated the regulation of resource flows through prices. Pareto identified the equilibrium state in which no one's condition can be improved without worsening another's condition as the main criterion of economic efficiency. The concept of "Pareto optimality" later became the foundation of all efficiency theories and remains a methodological basis for performance analysis today.

Since the second half of the twentieth century, quantitative methods for measuring efficiency have developed rapidly. Farrell developed a methodology for evaluating technical efficiency relative to the production possibility frontier in his article "The Measurement of Productive Efficiency." He divided economic efficiency into technical efficiency and allocative efficiency and empirically measured them for the first time. Later, Koopmans linked the mathematics of activity efficiency with the concept of Pareto optimality within the framework of activity analysis. This transition from classical theory to quantitative frontier analysis laid the foundation for the emergence of DEA and SFA methods.

In the economic literature of CIS countries, the category of "resource use efficiency" is traditionally considered within the framework of analyzing economic activity. Galina Savitskaya described methodologies for separately analyzing the efficiency of fixed assets, labor resources, and material resources. Aleksey Sheremet examined the system of resource efficiency indicators, including capital productivity, capital profitability, and material intensity indicators. These economic categories largely correspond to international concepts such as technical efficiency, allocative efficiency, and scale efficiency, although their calculation methodologies have specific features.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study employed both theoretical and empirical scientific methods. In particular, the historical and logical analysis method was used to study the stages of development of resource efficiency theory; the comparative analysis method was applied to analyze the differences and interrelations among types of efficiency; parametric and non-parametric methods were used to examine approaches to efficiency assessment; and economic-statistical methods were employed to analyze the essence of efficiency indicators.

The methodological basis of the research was formed by the theoretical approaches developed by Michael James Farrell (1957), Tjalling Koopmans (1951), Abraham Charnes, William Wager Cooper, and Edward Rhodes (1978), Dennis Aigner, C. A. Knox Lovell, and Peter Schmidt (1977), as well as Douglas Caves, Laurits Christensen, W. Erwin Diewert (1982), and Chojiro Nakajima (1988).

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Table 1.1. Main Types of Resource Use Efficiency¹

Type of Efficiency	Definition (Essence)	Measurement Indicator	Main Source
Technical Efficiency	Achieving maximum output with minimum resources within a given technological boundary	$TE = \text{Actual Output} / \text{Potential Output}$	Farrell (1957)
Allocative Efficiency	Allocation of resources according to their marginal productivity ratios	Price ratio analysis; cost minimization	Koopmans (1951); Debreu (1951)
Economic Efficiency	Combination of technical and allocative efficiency	$EE = TE \times AE$	Farrell (1957); Coelli et al. (2005)
Scale Efficiency	Reduction of unit costs as production volume increases	Returns to scale coefficient	Banker, Charnes & Cooper (1984)
Dynamic Efficiency	Increasing productivity over time through innovation and technological renewal	Malmquist TFP Index	Caves, Christensen & Diewert (1982)

¹ Source: Compiled by the authors based on Farrell (1957), Koopmans (1951), and Caves, Christensen & Diewert (1982).

As can be seen from the table above, all types of efficiency collectively represent the overall productivity of enterprise resources. Technical efficiency reflects the degree of utilization of existing technological capabilities, while allocative efficiency ensures the optimal distribution of resources according to price ratios. The combination of these two forms of efficiency constitutes economic efficiency, that is, the ability to achieve a given result at minimum cost. Scale efficiency reflects the dynamics of costs in response to changes in production volume and is especially important in the grain products industry, since the unit cost of production in large-scale milling enterprises may be significantly lower than in small enterprises. Dynamic efficiency, in turn, represents the ability to improve all other forms of efficiency over time through innovation and technological modernization.

In economic science, several methodological approaches have been developed for the quantitative assessment of resource efficiency. Among the first group — parametric methods — the most widespread is Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA), developed by Dennis Aigner, C. A. Knox Lovell, and Peter Schmidt. This method evaluates the production function using the following stochastic model:

$$\ln(Y_i) = f(X_i; \beta) + v_i - u_i,$$

where v_i represents random errors (weather conditions, measurement errors), and $u_i \geq 0$ - technical inefficiency component.

This model simultaneously considers both technical inefficiency and the influence of random external factors and is widely applied in the agricultural and food sectors because weather, climate, and other random factors significantly affect production outcomes in these fields.

Among the second methodological group — non-parametric methods — Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), developed by Abraham Charnes, William Wager Cooper, and Edward Rhodes, occupies a special place. DEA constructs an “efficient production frontier” based on linear programming and determines the distance of each decision-making unit (DMU) from this frontier as an efficiency indicator. This method allows for a quantitative assessment of where resources are wasted in grain product enterprises and how much efficiency improvement is possible.

To measure dynamic efficiency, Douglas Caves, Laurits Christensen, and David Malmquist developed the Total Factor Productivity (TFP) index. This index makes it possible to decompose productivity changes between two periods into technological change and efficiency change components. This approach serves as an important practical tool for evaluating whether digital technologies and modernization projects in grain product enterprises generate real economic benefits.

In practical management, the Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE) indicator is widely used to monitor resource efficiency at the operational level. Developed by Seiichi Nakajima, this indicator is expressed as the product of three components:

$$\text{OEE} = \text{Availability} \times \text{Performance} \times \text{Quality}$$

Here, availability refers to the ratio of actual operating time considering downtime; performance refers to the ratio of actual speed to nominal speed; and quality refers to the proportion of acceptable products. In global practice, the “world-class” OEE indicator for grain product enterprises is considered to be 85%, while many enterprises in developing countries remain within the range of 50–65%.

The relationship between resource efficiency and enterprise competitiveness is one of the central issues in modern strategic management theory. Michael Porter, in his work *Competitive Advantage*, substantiated that improving resource efficiency at every stage of the value chain is the primary source of reducing production costs and achieving differentiation advantages. In *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*, Porter incorporated resource efficiency into the “diamond model” of national competitiveness, demonstrating that it plays an important role in creating systemic competitive advantages together with factor conditions, domestic demand conditions, related and supporting industries, and firm strategy.

From the perspective of industrial economics, resource efficiency is closely connected with industry structure. The “Structure–Conduct–Performance” (SCP) paradigm, created by Joe S. Bain and developed by Edward S. Mason, serves as a key analytical tool for examining the impact of industry concentration, entry barriers, and market competition on enterprise efficiency. In the grain products industry, the SCP approach makes it possible to analyze industry concentration, for example, the market share of the five largest enterprises, pricing behavior, and average technical efficiency in an integrated manner.

Studying the experience of transition economies, Stephen Osborne and Michael Trueblood demonstrated in their research on the agricultural sectors of Russia and Ukraine that the implementation of institutional reforms can significantly improve agricultural efficiency. This conclusion also has important methodological significance for Uzbekistan.

The issue of resource efficiency in Uzbekistan's grain products industry has its own specific characteristics. Although grain harvesting volumes in the country are high, losses along the grain products value chain remain significant. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), post-harvest and distribution losses in the grain products chain in South and Central Asia are significantly higher than the global average. The FAO report estimates that global losses from the post-harvest stage to the retail level amount to approximately 14% of total food production worldwide. Inadequate storage infrastructure, lack of cooling systems, and outdated processing technologies are among the main causes of resource losses in grain product enterprises in developing countries.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The results of the study indicate that resource efficiency in grain product enterprises has a multidimensional and systemic nature. It is difficult to significantly improve efficiency solely through technological modernization or only through management improvement; instead, it requires the integrated influence of all factors. In particular, the institutional environment, including state regulation, ownership forms, and competition policy, plays an important role in improving resource efficiency in Uzbekistan.

As part of the ongoing reforms in Uzbekistan's agricultural sector, the Strategy for the Development of Agriculture of the Republic of Uzbekistan for 2020–2030, approved by Presidential Decree No. PF-5853 dated October 23, 2019, identifies the modernization of the agricultural sector, improvement of resource use, and development of processing capacities as important priorities [1]. This strategic document creates an institutional basis for scientific research aimed at improving mechanisms for enhancing resource efficiency in grain product enterprises.

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), adopted at the September 2015 Summit of the United Nations General Assembly, represent a plan for achieving a more sustainable future and better outcomes for all. Of the 17 goals, 12 are directly or indirectly related to agriculture and food systems, while Goal 2 specifically focuses on strengthening food security, improving nutrition, and promoting sustainable agriculture. Achieving these objectives is directly linked to improving resource efficiency in the sector. The SDGs aim to halve food losses at the retail and consumer levels and reduce food losses throughout production and supply chains by 2030. In achieving this global goal, improving resource efficiency in the grain products industry is of immense importance.

Thus, the conducted theoretical analysis demonstrated that the category of resource use efficiency possesses a complex, multidimensional, and dynamic nature. The theoretical foundations established by classical economists — Smith, Ricardo, Walras, Marshall, and Pareto — were further enriched by the quantitative methods of Farrell, Koopmans, Aigner, and others, as well as the strategic concepts of Porter and Barney. This synthesis creates a strong methodological basis for analyzing mechanisms aimed at improving resource efficiency in the grain products industry.

References

1. Republic of Uzbekistan. On the Approval of the Strategy for the Development of Agriculture of the Republic of Uzbekistan for 2020–2030: Presidential Decree No. PF-5853 dated October 23, 2019. <https://lex.uz/docs/-4567334>
2. Smith, A. *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*. Moscow: Sotsekgiz, 1962. 684 p.
3. Ricardo, D. *Works*. Vol. 1. Moscow: Gospolitizdat, 1955. 359 p.
4. Walras, L. *Elements of Pure Economics*. Translated by W. Jaffé. London: Allen & Unwin, 1954. 620 p.
5. Marshall, A. *Principles of Economics*. Vols. 1–3. Moscow: Progress, 1993.
6. Pareto, V. *Manual of Political Economy*. Translated by A. S. Schwier. New York: Augustus M. Kelley, 1971. 504 p.
7. Farrell, M. J. The Measurement of Productive Efficiency. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (General)*, 1957, Vol. 120, No. 3, pp. 253–281.
8. Koopmans, T. C. *An Analysis of Production as an Efficient Combination of Activities*. In: Koopmans, T. C. ed. *Activity Analysis of Production and Allocation*. New York: Wiley, 1951, pp. 33–97.
9. Savitskaya, G. V. *Analysis of Enterprise Economic Activity: Textbook*. 6th ed., revised and supplemented. Moscow: INFRA-M, 2017. 378 p.
10. Sheremet, A. D. *Analysis and Diagnostics of Financial and Economic Activity of an Enterprise: Textbook*. Moscow: INFRA-M, 2017. 374 p.
11. Aigner, D., Lovell, C. A. K., Schmidt, P. Formulation and Estimation of Stochastic Frontier Production Function Models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 1977, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 21–37.
12. Charnes, A., Cooper, W. W., Rhodes, E. Measuring the Efficiency of Decision Making Units. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 1978, Vol. 2, No. 6, pp. 429–444.
13. Caves, D. W., Christensen, L. R., Diewert, W. E. The Economic Theory of Index Numbers and the Measurement of Input, Output, and Productivity. *Econometrica*, 1982, Vol. 50, No. 6, pp. 1393–1414.
14. Nakajima, S. *Introduction to TPM: Total Productive Maintenance*. Portland: Productivity Press, 1988. 129 p.

15. Porter, M. E. *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Free Press, 1985.
16. Porter, M. E. *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. New York: Free Press, 1990.
17. Bain, J. S. *Barriers to New Competition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1956. 329 p.
18. Osborne, S., Trueblood, M. *Agricultural Productivity and Efficiency in Russia and Ukraine: Building on a Decade of Reform*. Agricultural Economic Report No. 813. Washington, D.C.: USDA Economic Research Service, 2002. 84 p.
19. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *The State of Food and Agriculture 2019: Moving Forward on Food Loss and Waste Reduction*. Rome: FAO, 2019. 182 p.
20. United Nations. *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015. UN Doc. A/RES/70/1. New York: United Nations, 2015. 35 p.

Proofreader: Xondamir Ismoilov
Layout and Designer: Oloviddin Sobir ugli

2026. № 5

© When materials are reproduced, the ECONOSCITECH-INTEGRATION journal must be cited as the source. Authors are responsible for the accuracy of the information in materials and advertisements published in the journal. Editorial opinions may not always align with those of the authors. Submitted materials will not be returned to the editorial office.

To publish articles in this journal, you may submit articles, advertisements, stories, and other creative materials through the following links. Materials and advertisements are published on a paid basis.

You may subscribe to the journal at any time using the following details. Once subscribed, please send a screenshot or photo of your payment confirmation to our Telegram page @iqtisodiyot_77. Based on this, we will send the latest issue of the journal to your address each month.

Our address: Tashkent city, Yunusobod district, 19th block, House 17.

