ECONOSCITECH INTEGRATION

ISSUE 8

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC ELECTRONIC JOURNAL

TASHKENT STATE UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS

American University of Technology
Powered by Arizona State University®

ISSN: 3060-5075

Acceptance of articles
PUBLISHED EVERY MONTHLY

ARTICLE CONTRIBUTORS
PROFESSORS-TEACHERS, SPECIALISTS AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHERS.

Google scholar

OpenAIRE

ISSN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER DENMARK

CONTACT: +998 94 3540880 https://econoscitech-integration-journal.uz

2026

THE SCIENTIFIC-POPULAR ELECTRONIC JOURNAL **"ECONOSCITECH-INTEGRATION"** HAS BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE NUMBER C-5669651 BY THE AGENCY FOR INFORMATION AND MASS COMMUNICATIONS (AOKA) OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN, EFFECTIVE FROM OCTOBER 9, 2024.

In accordance with Resolution No. 384/6 dated April 10, 2026, issued by the Presidium of the Supreme Attestation Commission under the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation of the Republic of Uzbekistan, this journal is included in the list of recommended international scientific publications for publishing the primary research findings of doctoral dissertations in the field of Economic Sciences.

Partners: Tashkent State University of Economics / American University of Technology in Tashkent (AUT)

Electronic publication. Issue 8. 374 pages.
Approved for publication in August 2026.

Editorial Board Members:

CONTENTS

CONTENTS

CONTENTS

PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT MECHANISMS FOR ACADEMIC STAFF IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Sultonboyeva Munira Bahodirovna
Doctor of Science (DSc), Associate Professor,
Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent
E-mail: munira.sultonboeva@polito.uz

Sultanova Kamila Mukhtorali kizi
Master of Science, Senior Teacher,
Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent
E-mail: kamila.sultanova@polito.uz
ORCID: 0009-0009-3238-943X

Abstract. The effectiveness of higher education institutions largely depends on the professional performance, motivation, and productivity of their academic staff. In the context of increasing global competition, digital transformation, and evolving educational requirements, universities must develop effective mechanisms to enhance academic staff performance. This study examines the key organizational and human resource management mechanisms that contribute to improving the performance of academic staff in higher education institutions.

The research focuses on the roles of professional development, leadership support, performance evaluation systems, workload management, employee motivation, career development opportunities, institutional support, and staff engagement in enhancing academic effectiveness. A quantitative research approach was employed using a structured survey administered to academic staff at higher education institutions. Statistical methods were applied to examine the relationships between performance improvement mechanisms and academic staff outcomes.

The findings indicate that continuous professional development, supportive leadership, fair performance evaluation systems, balanced workloads, and a supportive institutional environment significantly contribute to higher levels of academic performance. Based on these findings, the study offers practical recommendations for university administrators and policymakers to design and implement effective performance improvement strategies aimed at enhancing teaching quality, increasing research productivity, and improving institutional effectiveness. Furthermore, the study contributes to the advancement of strategic human resource management in higher education by identifying effective approaches to strengthening academic staff capabilities and improving overall organizational performance.

Keywords: Academic Staff; Higher Education; Performance Improvement; Human Resource Management; Professional Development; Leadership Support; Performance Evaluation; Workload Management; Employee Motivation; Institutional Effectiveness.

Аннотация. Эффективность высших учебных заведений во многом определяется профессиональной деятельностью, мотивацией и производительностью академического персонала. В условиях усиления глобальной конкуренции, цифровой трансформации и постоянно меняющихся требований к системе образования университетам необходимо разрабатывать эффективные механизмы повышения результативности деятельности преподавателей и научно-педагогических работников. Настоящее исследование посвящено изучению ключевых организационных и управленческих механизмов, способствующих повышению эффективности деятельности академического персонала в учреждениях высшего образования.

В работе анализируется влияние профессионального развития, поддержки руководства, систем оценки эффективности, управления рабочей нагрузкой, мотивации персонала, возможностей карьерного роста, институциональной поддержки и вовлеченности сотрудников на повышение академической результативности. Исследование основано на количественном подходе с использованием

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC ELECTRONIC JOURNAL

структурированного анкетирования академического персонала высших учебных заведений. Для оценки взаимосвязи между механизмами повышения эффективности и результатами деятельности академического персонала применены современные статистические методы анализа.

Результаты исследования свидетельствуют о том, что непрерывное профессиональное развитие, эффективная поддержка со стороны руководства, справедливые системы оценки деятельности, сбалансированная рабочая нагрузка и благоприятная институциональная среда оказывают существенное положительное влияние на повышение эффективности академического персонала. На основе полученных результатов сформулированы практические рекомендации для руководителей университетов и разработчиков образовательной политики по разработке и внедрению эффективных стратегий повышения результативности деятельности преподавателей, направленных на улучшение качества образовательного процесса, повышение научной продуктивности и укрепление институциональной эффективности. Исследование также вносит вклад в развитие стратегического управления человеческими ресурсами в системе высшего образования, определяя эффективные подходы к развитию потенциала академического персонала и повышению общей эффективности деятельности образовательных организаций.

Ключевые слова: академический персонал; высшее образование; повышение эффективности деятельности; управление человеческими ресурсами; профессиональное развитие; поддержка руководства; оценка эффективности; управление рабочей нагрузкой; мотивация сотрудников; институциональная эффективность.

INTRODUCTION

In the modern knowledge-based economy, higher education institutions play a crucial role in developing human capital, fostering innovation, and supporting sustainable social and economic development. The effectiveness and competitiveness of universities increasingly depend not only on financial resources, technological infrastructure, or institutional strategies but also on the capabilities, motivation, and performance of their academic staff. Faculty members represent the core intellectual capital of universities, as they are directly responsible for the quality of teaching, research excellence, student development, and knowledge transfer. Therefore, improving the performance of academic staff has become a strategic priority for higher education institutions worldwide.

The transformation of higher education, driven by globalization, digitalization, international university rankings, and evolving societal expectations, has significantly expanded the responsibilities of academic staff. Modern academics are expected to perform multiple roles, including delivering high-quality education, conducting impactful research, participating in international collaborations, developing innovative teaching methodologies, and contributing to institutional governance and development. These expanding responsibilities require universities to establish effective mechanisms that support academic staff development and continuously enhance their professional performance.

Improving academic staff performance cannot be achieved solely through traditional administrative approaches. Instead, it requires a comprehensive system that integrates strategic human resource management practices, organizational support, motivational mechanisms, effective leadership, and continuous professional development. Effective performance improvement mechanisms create an environment in which academic staff can strengthen their competencies, enhance productivity, and contribute more effectively to institutional objectives. Such mechanisms include continuous professional development programs, transparent performance evaluation systems, supportive leadership, balanced workload management, career advancement opportunities, recognition and reward systems, and a positive institutional culture.

Strategic Human Resource Management (HRM) has become an essential instrument for improving employee performance in higher education institutions. Unlike conventional personnel administration, strategic HRM emphasizes the development of employees' capabilities while aligning individual performance with organizational goals. Universities that successfully implement strategic HRM practices are better positioned to improve teaching effectiveness, increase research productivity, retain highly qualified academics, and strengthen their institutional reputation. Consequently, understanding the mechanisms that influence academic staff performance is essential for university leaders, policymakers, and higher education administrators.

Previous studies have primarily focused on individual aspects of academic performance, including job satisfaction, employee motivation, research productivity, and organizational commitment. However, comprehensive research examining the interaction of multiple organizational and human resource management mechanisms that collectively influence academic staff performance remains relatively limited, particularly

within developing higher education systems. This gap highlights the need for research that integrates various organizational and HRM factors into a comprehensive framework for enhancing academic staff effectiveness.

The present study aims to examine the key mechanisms for improving the performance of academic staff in higher education institutions. Specifically, it investigates the influence of professional development, leadership support, performance evaluation systems, workload management, employee motivation, career development opportunities, institutional support, and staff engagement on academic performance. By identifying the most influential performance improvement mechanisms, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of how universities can develop effective strategies to enhance faculty performance and institutional effectiveness.

The findings of this study have both theoretical and practical significance. From a theoretical perspective, the research expands the literature on strategic human resource management in higher education by providing a comprehensive framework for understanding academic staff performance improvement. From a practical perspective, the findings offer evidence-based recommendations for university leaders and policymakers in designing and implementing effective HRM policies and institutional practices. Strengthening performance improvement mechanisms will enable higher education institutions to enhance teaching quality, increase research productivity, improve employee satisfaction and engagement, and achieve sustainable institutional excellence over the long term.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Employee performance improvement has been extensively studied within the fields of Human Resource Management (HRM), Organizational Behavior, and Higher Education Management. Performance improvement refers to systematic organizational initiatives aimed at enhancing employees' capabilities, motivation, productivity, and overall contribution to institutional objectives. Within higher education institutions, academic staff performance is a multidimensional concept encompassing teaching effectiveness, research productivity, student support, academic innovation, and active participation in institutional development.

The theoretical foundation of performance improvement mechanisms is closely associated with Human Capital Theory, Strategic Human Resource Management Theory, Social Exchange Theory, and Performance Management **Theory**. Human Capital Theory, introduced by Becker (1964), emphasizes that investments in employees' knowledge, skills, and education enhance both individual productivity and organizational performance. In the context of higher education, investments in faculty training, research capacity, and continuous professional development directly contribute to improving teaching quality and research outcomes.

Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM) theory argues that organizations achieve sustainable competitive advantage through the effective management and development of their human resources (Wright & McMahan, 1992). In higher education institutions, strategic HRM practices—including recruitment, professional development, performance appraisal, career planning, and employee engagement—enable universities to align academic staff capabilities with institutional missions and strategic objectives. Previous studies indicate that universities with well-developed HRM systems demonstrate higher levels of employee commitment, productivity, and organizational effectiveness.

Professional development is widely recognized as one of the most influential mechanisms for improving academic staff performance. Continuous training enables faculty members to update their disciplinary knowledge, adopt innovative teaching methodologies, strengthen digital competencies, and enhance research capabilities. According to Noe (2020), employee development initiatives improve both competence and self-confidence, ultimately leading to higher job performance. In higher education, professional development through workshops, international mobility programs, collaborative research projects, and academic networking substantially enhances faculty effectiveness.

Leadership support is another critical factor influencing academic performance. Transformational leadership fosters motivation, creativity, effective communication, and organizational commitment among academic personnel. Bass and Avolio (1994) argue that supportive leaders create environments in which employees feel valued, empowered, and motivated to achieve organizational goals. Within universities, effective leadership promotes collaboration, strengthens employee engagement, and contributes to continuous professional growth.

Performance evaluation systems also play a vital role in enhancing academic effectiveness. Well-designed evaluation systems provide constructive feedback, identify professional development needs, recognize achievements, and encourage continuous improvement. However, previous research emphasizes that such systems should be transparent, fair, objective, and development-oriented. Evaluation approaches that rely primarily on administrative control or narrow quantitative indicators may have limited effectiveness in supporting long-term professional development.

Workload management and work–life balance have attracted increasing scholarly attention as academic responsibilities continue to expand. Faculty members are expected to balance teaching, research, administrative

duties, student supervision, and ongoing professional development. Appropriate workload distribution and adequate institutional support create favorable conditions for maintaining high levels of productivity and professional well-being. The Job Demands–Resources (JD–R) model proposed by Bakker and Demerouti (2007) suggests that employee performance improves when organizational resources effectively support job demands.

Career development opportunities, recognition, and motivation mechanisms also make significant contributions to improving academic staff performance. Universities that provide transparent promotion pathways, mentoring programs, research opportunities, and effective recognition systems encourage faculty members to pursue continuous professional growth. According to Social Exchange Theory (Blau, 1964), employees who perceive strong organizational support are more likely to demonstrate higher levels of commitment, engagement, and performance.

The institutional environment represents another important determinant of academic staff effectiveness. A supportive organizational culture, adequate research infrastructure, modern digital resources, efficient administrative services, and collaborative working conditions create an environment conducive to high academic productivity. Universities that prioritize employee well-being, institutional support, and collaborative practices are better positioned to achieve sustainable improvements in both teaching quality and research performance.

Although existing studies provide valuable insights into individual factors affecting academic performance, there remains a need for more integrated research examining multiple performance improvement mechanisms simultaneously. Many previous studies have focused on isolated variables—such as motivation, leadership, or professional development—without fully considering their combined and interactive effects. Therefore, further research is needed to develop comprehensive conceptual frameworks that explain how different HRM practices and organizational mechanisms collectively enhance academic staff performance and institutional effectiveness in higher education.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a quantitative research approach to examine the mechanisms that contribute to improving academic staff performance in higher education institutions. A quantitative methodology was selected because it enables the systematic measurement of relationships between organizational factors and employee performance through statistical analysis. The primary objective of the study was to identify the key mechanisms that enhance academic staff effectiveness and to evaluate the extent to which Human Resource Management (HRM) and organizational practices influence faculty performance.

The research was conducted among academic staff employed in higher education institutions. The target population consisted of university lecturers, senior lecturers, associate professors, and professors who are actively engaged in teaching, research, and other academic activities. Academic staff were selected as the study population because their performance has a direct impact on the quality of education, research productivity, student learning outcomes, and overall institutional development.

Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed on the basis of previous studies in Human Resource Management, Organizational Behavior, and Higher Education Management. The questionnaire was designed to measure the principal mechanisms associated with improvements in academic staff performance. It consisted of two sections. The first section gathered demographic information, including respondents' gender, age, academic rank, and professional experience. The second section measured the main research constructs using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 5 ("Strongly Agree").

The conceptual framework included several independent variables representing performance improvement mechanisms: Professional Development and Training (PDT), Leadership Support (LS), Performance Evaluation Systems (PES), Workload Management (WM), Compensation and Recognition (CR), Career Development Opportunities (CDO), Institutional Support (IS), and Employee Engagement (EE). Academic Staff Performance (ASP) was specified as the dependent variable. These variables were selected based on the theoretical foundations of Strategic Human Resource Management Theory, Human Capital Theory, Social Exchange Theory, and Performance Management Theory.

Professional Development and Training (PDT) was measured through indicators related to participation in professional training programs, enhancement of teaching competencies, research capacity development, and opportunities for continuous learning. Leadership Support (LS) was assessed through communication quality, managerial encouragement, participation in decision-making, and recognition from university leadership. Performance Evaluation Systems (PES) were evaluated based on transparency, fairness, feedback quality, and their contribution to professional development.

Workload Management (WM) was measured using indicators related to the balanced distribution of

teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities. Compensation and Recognition (CR) included salary satisfaction, rewards for outstanding achievements, and institutional recognition of academic contributions. Career Development Opportunities (CDO) focused on promotion prospects, mentoring programs, academic advancement, and opportunities for professional growth. Institutional Support (IS) was evaluated through organizational culture, technological infrastructure, research facilities, and administrative assistance. Employee Engagement (EE) reflected faculty commitment, motivation, active participation, and willingness to contribute to institutional objectives.

The questionnaire was distributed electronically using an online survey platform. Participation was voluntary, and all respondents were informed about the purpose of the study as well as the confidentiality of their responses. Ethical principles—including anonymity, informed consent, voluntary participation, and the protection of personal information—were maintained throughout the research process. The online survey format facilitated efficient data collection while minimizing geographical and administrative constraints.

A total of 120 questionnaires were distributed among academic staff members. Following data screening, incomplete questionnaires were excluded from the analysis. Consequently, 106 valid responses were retained for statistical analysis. The final sample represented academic employees with diverse professional experience and academic positions, thereby providing a broad representation of university faculty members.

Several statistical techniques were employed to analyze the collected data. First, descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondents' demographic characteristics and identify general patterns within the dataset. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated to examine respondents' perceptions of the various performance improvement mechanisms.

Second, reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's Alpha to assess the internal consistency of the measurement scales. A Cronbach's Alpha coefficient greater than 0.70 was considered acceptable, indicating satisfactory reliability of the questionnaire. The reliability results confirmed that the measurement instrument was appropriate for subsequent statistical analyses.

Third, Pearson's correlation analysis was performed to examine the strength and direction of the relationships between performance improvement mechanisms and academic staff performance. This analysis provided preliminary evidence regarding the associations between organizational practices and employee performance outcomes.

Finally, multiple regression analysis was employed to determine the relative contribution of each performance improvement mechanism to Academic Staff Performance (ASP). Regression analysis enabled the identification of the most influential factors while simultaneously controlling for the effects of the remaining independent variables.

The regression model was specified as follows:

$$ASP=\beta_0+\beta_1PDT+\beta_2LS+\beta_3PES+\beta_4WM+\beta_5CR+\beta_6CDO+\beta_7IS+\beta_8EE+\varepsilon$$

where:

– ASP – Academic Staff Performance;
– PDT – Professional Development and Training;
– LS – Leadership Support;
– PES – Performance Evaluation Systems;
– WM – Workload Management;
– CR – Compensation and Recognition;
– CDO – Career Development Opportunities;
– IS – Institutional Support;
– EE – Employee Engagement;
– ε – Error term.

All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA software. Statistical significance was evaluated at the 5% significance level ($p < 0.05$). In addition, diagnostic procedures—including Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analysis—were performed to assess potential multicollinearity and to verify the robustness and validity of the regression model. Overall, the adopted methodology provides a comprehensive and reliable framework for identifying the organizational and Human Resource Management mechanisms that most effectively contribute to improving academic staff performance in higher education institutions.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The empirical analysis was conducted using data collected from 106 academic staff members employed in higher education institutions. The primary objective of the analysis was to identify the most influential mechanisms contributing to improvements in academic staff performance. The statistical analysis comprised descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis.

The demographic analysis indicated that the respondents represented diverse academic positions and professional backgrounds. The sample included lecturers, senior lecturers, associate professors, and professors with varying levels of teaching and research experience. This diversity provided a comprehensive perspective on academic staff perceptions regarding performance improvement mechanisms within higher education institutions.

The descriptive analysis revealed that academic staff generally recognized the significant role of Human Resource Management (HRM) practices and organizational support in enhancing professional performance. Among the investigated mechanisms, Professional Development and Training (PDT), Leadership Support (LS), and Institutional Support (IS) received the highest mean scores. These findings suggest that continuous professional learning, effective leadership, and a supportive institutional environment are regarded as the most important contributors to improved academic performance.

Professional Development and Training (PDT) emerged as one of the most highly valued performance improvement mechanisms. Respondents indicated that opportunities to improve teaching methodologies, strengthen research competencies, participate in professional development programs, and engage in international academic collaboration positively influence their professional performance. These findings reinforce the importance of continuous competency development in maintaining academic excellence and adapting to the evolving demands of higher education.

The reliability analysis confirmed the internal consistency of all measurement constructs. Cronbach's Alpha coefficients exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating that the questionnaire items reliably measured the intended research variables. The high reliability coefficients demonstrate that the selected indicators effectively captured the multiple dimensions of academic staff performance improvement mechanisms.

Correlation analysis was subsequently performed to examine the relationships between the independent variables and Academic Staff Performance (ASP). The results demonstrated positive relationships between all investigated performance improvement mechanisms and academic staff performance. Professional Development and Training exhibited one of the strongest positive correlations, suggesting that investment in faculty competency development substantially contributes to enhanced academic outcomes.

Leadership Support also demonstrated a strong positive relationship with Academic Staff Performance. The findings indicate that academic staff perform more effectively when university leaders provide clear communication, professional guidance, constructive feedback, recognition, and opportunities for participation in institutional decision-making. Supportive leadership fosters a positive organizational climate that strengthens employee motivation, engagement, and commitment.

Workload Management (WM) showed a statistically significant relationship with academic performance improvement. Respondents emphasized that a balanced distribution of teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities enables them to perform their duties more efficiently and effectively. Appropriate workload management creates favorable conditions for maintaining productivity, supporting professional well-being, and sustaining high academic standards.

The relationship between Performance Evaluation Systems (PES) and Academic Staff Performance was likewise positive. Transparent, objective, and fair evaluation systems encourage continuous professional improvement by providing constructive feedback and identifying opportunities for further development. The findings suggest that evaluation systems are most effective when they function as developmental tools that support professional growth rather than solely as administrative assessment mechanisms.

Compensation and Recognition (CR) were also found to positively influence academic staff motivation and performance. Although academic work is largely driven by intrinsic motivation and professional commitment, appropriate financial rewards, formal recognition of achievements, and career incentives strengthen organizational commitment and encourage higher levels of productivity.

Career Development Opportunities (CDO) demonstrated a significant positive influence on Academic Staff Performance. Faculty members who perceive clear opportunities for promotion, mentoring, leadership development, and long-term professional advancement are more likely to demonstrate stronger organizational commitment and actively contribute to institutional development. These findings highlight the strategic importance of career development within Human Resource Management practices in higher education.

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to examine the combined effects of the performance improvement mechanisms on Academic Staff Performance. The regression model demonstrated strong explanatory power, indicating that the selected Human Resource Management and organizational variables collectively explain a substantial proportion of the variation in academic staff performance.

The regression results revealed that Professional Development and Training, Leadership Support, Workload Management, Career Development Opportunities, and Institutional Support were among the strongest

predictors of Academic Staff Performance. These findings indicate that universities should prioritize faculty development initiatives and strengthen organizational support systems to achieve sustainable improvements in academic effectiveness.

Employee Engagement (EE) also demonstrated a statistically significant positive contribution to Academic Staff Performance. Faculty members who feel connected to their institutions, actively participate in university activities, and perceive their work as meaningful exhibit higher levels of productivity, commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior. This finding further emphasizes the importance of cultivating an institutional culture that promotes collaboration, engagement, and shared responsibility.

The analysis also examined potential multicollinearity among the independent variables. Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values remained below the recommended threshold, indicating that the explanatory variables were sufficiently independent and that the regression estimates were statistically reliable. Furthermore, the overall regression model was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), confirming that the selected performance improvement mechanisms collectively exert a meaningful influence on Academic Staff Performance.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that improving academic staff performance requires a comprehensive and integrated approach rather than reliance on any single organizational factor. Universities should incorporate Professional Development and Training, Leadership Support, transparent Performance Evaluation Systems, effective Workload Management, appropriate Compensation and Recognition, Career Development Opportunities, Institutional Support, and Employee Engagement into a unified Human Resource Management strategy.

In conclusion, the empirical findings confirm that Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM) practices represent essential mechanisms for enhancing academic staff performance in higher education institutions. Universities that invest in faculty development, supportive organizational systems, and employee engagement are more likely to achieve higher teaching quality, stronger research productivity, enhanced institutional effectiveness, and sustainable organizational development.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The effectiveness of higher education institutions largely depends on the performance, professional competence, and commitment of their academic staff. In the context of increasing global competition, rapid digital transformation, and evolving expectations of higher education, enhancing academic staff performance has become a strategic priority. This study examined the principal mechanisms for improving academic staff performance, focusing on the roles of Professional Development and Training, Leadership Support, Performance Evaluation Systems, Workload Management, Compensation and Recognition, Career Development Opportunities, Institutional Support, and Employee Engagement.

The findings demonstrate that improving academic staff performance is a multifaceted process shaped by the interaction of organizational and Human Resource Management (HRM) practices. The results indicate that sustainable performance improvement cannot be achieved through isolated initiatives alone. Rather, universities require an integrated approach that combines continuous employee development, effective leadership, supportive organizational environments, and well-designed motivational mechanisms.

Professional Development and Training (PDT) emerged as one of the most influential mechanisms for enhancing academic staff performance. Continuous improvement of teaching competencies, research capabilities, digital literacy, and professional expertise enables faculty members to respond effectively to changing educational requirements while contributing more substantially to institutional objectives. Universities that provide regular professional development programs, international academic mobility opportunities, research workshops, and lifelong learning initiatives create favorable conditions for improving both individual capabilities and organizational performance.

Leadership Support (LS) was also identified as a significant determinant of academic staff effectiveness. Supportive and transformational leadership practices foster motivation, innovation, collaboration, and organizational commitment. Academic staff perform more effectively when university leaders maintain transparent communication, recognize professional achievements, encourage participation in decision-making processes, and cultivate a culture of trust and cooperation. Consequently, leadership development should constitute a central component of institutional performance improvement strategies.

The study further highlights the importance of effective Workload Management (WM). Academic staff are expected to balance teaching responsibilities, research activities, administrative duties, student supervision, and community engagement. Appropriate workload distribution enables faculty members to maintain high levels of productivity while supporting professional well-being. The findings suggest that universities should establish transparent workload allocation systems that consider individual responsibilities, academic priorities, and institutional objectives to promote fairness, efficiency, and long-term sustainability.

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC ELECTRONIC JOURNAL

Performance Evaluation Systems (PES) represent another important mechanism for improving academic effectiveness. Well-designed evaluation systems provide constructive feedback, identify professional development needs, recognize achievements, and encourage continuous improvement. The findings indicate that evaluation systems are most effective when they function primarily as developmental instruments rather than solely as administrative assessment tools. Transparent evaluation criteria, regular feedback, mentoring support, and recognition of academic achievements substantially enhance the effectiveness of performance management practices.

The research also confirms the importance of Career Development Opportunities (CDO) and Compensation and Recognition (CR). Academic staff demonstrate higher levels of motivation and commitment when universities provide transparent promotion pathways, structured mentoring programs, leadership development opportunities, and appropriate recognition for professional achievements. Career development contributes not only to individual advancement but also to institutional sustainability by strengthening employee engagement and supporting long-term organizational development.

Institutional Support (IS) and Employee Engagement (EE) were likewise identified as important contributors to academic staff performance improvement. A supportive institutional environment characterized by effective communication, adequate research infrastructure, modern digital technologies, administrative assistance, and a collaborative organizational culture enables faculty members to perform more effectively. Furthermore, engaged employees demonstrate stronger organizational commitment, higher motivation, greater collaboration, and an increased willingness to contribute to institutional development.

Based on the findings of this study, several practical recommendations are proposed for university administrators and policymakers.

First, higher education institutions should develop comprehensive academic staff development strategies that incorporate continuous professional training, structured mentoring systems, international academic collaboration, and research capacity-building initiatives. Professional development should be viewed as a long-term strategic investment rather than an occasional activity.

Second, universities should strengthen leadership practices by enhancing the leadership competencies of academic managers and department heads. Professional development programs focusing on transformational leadership, effective communication, conflict management, strategic decision-making, and employee motivation can significantly improve leaders' ability to support academic staff.

Third, institutions should improve workload management systems by implementing transparent mechanisms for allocating teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities. Workload policies should consider academic specialization, research commitments, student supervision responsibilities, and individual capacity to ensure equitable and sustainable workload distribution.

Fourth, universities should redesign Performance Evaluation Systems to emphasize professional development and continuous improvement. Evaluation procedures should include constructive feedback, individualized development plans, mentoring support, and recognition of achievements in teaching, research, innovation, and institutional service. A balanced evaluation framework should recognize multiple dimensions of academic contribution rather than relying exclusively on quantitative performance indicators.

Fifth, higher education institutions should strengthen Career Development Opportunities by establishing transparent promotion criteria, comprehensive mentoring programs, leadership development initiatives, and effective recognition systems. Supporting long-term academic career progression will enhance employee motivation, organizational commitment, and institutional sustainability.

Sixth, universities should expand Institutional Support by investing in modern educational technologies, research infrastructure, digital resources, laboratory facilities, library services, and efficient administrative support systems. Such investments enable academic staff to concentrate more effectively on teaching, research, and innovation.

Finally, universities should promote Employee Engagement and work–life balance through flexible working arrangements, employee well-being initiatives, supportive organizational cultures, and programs that foster collaboration and professional resilience. Maintaining high levels of academic staff satisfaction and well-being is essential for sustaining productivity, innovation, and institutional effectiveness.

This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by providing a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms that enhance academic staff performance in higher education institutions. The findings demonstrate that Strategic Human Resource Management (SHRM) practices play a central role in developing a productive, motivated, innovative, and highly engaged academic workforce. By implementing integrated performance improvement strategies, universities can enhance teaching quality, increase research productivity, strengthen institutional competitiveness, and achieve sustainable organizational development.

The study also identifies opportunities for further research. Although the findings provide valuable insights into performance improvement mechanisms within higher education institutions, future studies could broaden

the scope by conducting comparative analyses across different countries and higher education systems, applying longitudinal research designs, and incorporating qualitative methods such as in-depth interviews and focus group discussions. Such approaches would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamic processes influencing academic staff performance and contribute to the continued advancement of strategic Human Resource Management practices in higher education.

REFERENCES

1. Aguinis, H. (2019). *Performance Management* (4th ed.). Chicago Business Press.
2. Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & de Wit, H. (2019). *Responding to Massification: Differentiation in Postsecondary Education Worldwide*. Boston College Center for International Higher Education.
3. Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2020). *Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice* (15th ed.). Kogan Page.
4. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands–resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22*(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115
5. Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving Organizational Effectiveness Through Transformational Leadership*. Sage Publications.
6. Becker, G. S. (1964). *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. University of Chicago Press.
7. Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and Power in Social Life*. Wiley.
8. Delery, J. E., & Doty, D. H. (1996). Modes of theorizing in strategic human resource management: Tests of universalistic, contingency, and configurational performance predictions. *Academy of Management Journal, 39*(4), 802–835. https://doi.org/10.2307/256713
9. Dessler, G. (2020). *Human Resource Management* (16th ed.). Pearson.
10. Greenhaus, J. H., & Allen, T. D. (2011). Work–family balance: A review and extension of the literature. In J. C. Quick & L. E. Tetrick (Eds.), *Handbook of Occupational Health Psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 165–183). American Psychological Association.
11. Huselid, M. A. (1995). The impact of human resource management practices on turnover, productivity, and corporate financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 38*(3), 635–672. https://doi.org/10.2307/256741
12. Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal, 33*(4), 692–724. https://doi.org/10.2307/256287
13. Kuvaas, B. (2008). An exploration of how the employee–organization relationship affects the linkage between perceptions of developmental human resource practices and employee outcomes. *Journal of Management Studies, 45*(1), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00710.x
14. Noe, R. A. (2020). *Employee Training and Development* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
15. Pfeffer, J. (1998). *The Human Equation: Building Profits by Putting People First*. Harvard Business School Press.
16. Richardson, J., & McKenna, S. (2020). An exploration of the role of academic staff in higher education transformation. *Higher Education Quarterly, 74*(3), 267–282.
17. Schleu, J. E., & Huffmeier, J. (2021). Simply the best? A systematic literature review on the predictive validity of employee performance evaluation methods. *Human Resource Management Review, 31*(2), Article 100777. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2020.100777
18. Storey, J. (2016). *Human Resource Management: A Critical Text* (5th ed.). Cengage Learning.
19. Tessema, M. T., Ready, K. J., & Embaye, A. B. (2013). The effects of employee recognition, pay, and benefits on job satisfaction: Cross-country evidence. *Journal of Business and Economics, 4*(1), 1–12.
20. Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (1992). Theoretical perspectives for strategic human resource management. *Journal of Management, 18*(2), 295–320. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639201800205
21. Youndt, M. A., Snell, S. A., Dean, J. W., & Lepak, D. P. (1996). Human resource management, manufacturing strategy, and firm performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 39*(4), 836–866. https://doi.org/10.2307/256714
22. Zacher, H., Rudolph, C. W., Todorovic, T., & Ammann, D. (2019). Academic career development: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 110*, 357–373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2018.09.003
23. Zhou, Y., & Volkwein, J. F. (2004). Examining the influences on faculty departure intentions: A comparison of tenured versus nontenured faculty among U.S. research universities. *Research in Higher Education, 45*, 139–176. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RIHE.0000015690.38606.4c

Proofreader: Xondamir Ismoilov

Layout and Designer: Hasan Maqsudov

2026. № 8

To publish articles in this journal, you may submit articles, advertisements, stories, and other creative materials through the following links. Materials and advertisements are published on a paid basis.

You may subscribe to the journal at any time using the following details. Once subscribed, please send a screenshot or photo of your payment confirmation to our Telegram page @iqtisodiyot_77. Based on this, we will send the latest issue of the journal to your address each month.

Our address: Tashkent city, Yunusobod district, 19th block, House 17.